

transmitted, such as online healthcare and distance education. On the other hand, the application of digital technology in fields such as power grids, transportation, and municipal governance has promoted the construction of smart grids and intelligent transportation systems, effectively solving problems such as information asymmetry and structural mismatch between supply and demand, and allocating resources and urban roads more scientifically and reasonably. Moreover, the development of the digital economy can help facilitate and intelligently manage urban communities, promote the construction of smart communities, and improve the quality of residents' daily life.

Nonlinear Effects of the Dige on Urban Gde

The Dige benefits from network effects as indicated by Reed's Law and Metcalfe's Law, and its network value will significantly increase as it reaches a certain level [38]. So, does the influence of the Dige on urban Gde also exhibit time-varying characteristics? Indeed, it has been verified by certain previous research that environmentally friendly economic expansion is actively fostered by the digital economy and that a threshold effect is present [39]. Economic growth is an important dimension for examining urban green development. It has also been validated by certain researchers that the degree of eco-friendly development in Chinese agriculture can be notably enhanced by the digital economy, and this catalyzing impact demonstrates a nonlinear characteristic of "increasing marginal effect". A substantial capacity to enhance China's Green Total Factor Productivity (GTFP) is possessed by the Dige, and as the GTFP rises, the promotion effect of the Dige on urban GTFP increases as well [40, 41]. Consequently, it is posited that, as the Dige continues to advance, specific nonlinear characteristics may be demonstrated by its influence on the progress of urban Gde.

Based on the above discussion, this paper proposes the following research hypotheses:

Hypothesis 1: The digital economy significantly contributes to urban green development.

Hypothesis 2: With the continuous improvement of the digital economy, it has a non-linear effect toward the urban Gde.

Research Design

Baseline Model

To confirm the above hypotheses, a panel data fixed-effects model is employed by this paper for analyzing the influence of the Dige on Gde within urban areas. Referring to existing research [42], the following baseline econometric model is established:

$$Gde_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Dige_{i,t} + \alpha_c X_{i,t} + \mu_i + \delta_t + \xi_{i,t}$$

where $Gde_{i,t}$ denotes the level of green development comprehensive index of city i at year t , while $Dige_{i,t}$ stands for the value of digital economic comprehensive index of the same city at the same year, and $X_{i,t}$ expresses a series of control variables, μ_i is the individual fixed effect of city i , δ_t reflects the time fixed effects at year t , and $\xi_{i,t}$ means the random disturbance term.

Definition and Measurement of Variables

Main Explanatory Variable

The main explanatory variable in this paper is the level of digital economic development (Dige). The digital economy takes knowledge and information as production factors and the Internet as a carrier to influence the traditional production mode through information technology, promoting the digital transformation of the economy and society. Drawing on existing research, this article focuses on the development of the Internet and selects six indicators from three aspects: digital infrastructure, data human resources, and digital transactions, forming a digital economy indicator system to measure the digital economy at the urban level [43]. The ratio of broadband internet access users among 100 individuals, the ratio of mobile phone users among 100 individuals, per capita telecommunications service revenue, the share of workers in information transmission, computer services, and software industries in the total employee count at the city's conclusion, the share of workers in transportation, warehousing, and postal services in the total employee count at the city's conclusion, and the China Digital Financial Inclusion Index are encompassed by these measures [44]. The entropy method is utilized for a comprehensive assessment, leading to the creation of a composite index that measures the extent of digital economic development within cities, as depicted in Table 1.

Dependent Variable

The dependent variable is the urban green development (Gde). Green development, as one of the important ways to achieve sustainable development, is essential to break free from excessive dependence on resource consumption under the constraints of resource and environmental carrying capacity, and to achieve the coordination and unity among moderate economic and social development, rational utilization of energy, and harmonious coexistence between humans and nature [45]. This requires green development to not only highlight the characteristics of green and clean, but also to implement the core principle of development [46]. Based on this connotation, referring to the research of pertinent scholars [47], an inclusive index system for urban green development, covering 18 indicators across three domains: production, ecology, and livelihood, is formulated in this paper. These indicators include

three dimensions which are economic development, environmental protection, and social progress. Entropy method is used to measure the level of Gde. A detailed index system is provided in Table 1.

Control Variables

Considering the potential impact on the robustness of empirical results coming from other factors, this paper includes six control variables as following: foreign direct investment (Fdi), industrial structure (Ind), financial development (Fin), environmental regulation (Env), urbanization level (Urb), and human capital level (Hum). More precisely, the Fdi is gauged by the ratio of foreign direct investment originating from both foreign entities and regions like Hong Kong, Macao, and Taiwan in comparison to the GDP. The Ind is characterized by the proportion of added value of the secondary industry

to GDP. The Fin is measured by the proportion of various RMB loan balances of financial institutions to GDP at the end of the year. The Env is expressed by the comprehensive utilization rate of industrial solid waste. The Urb is quantified by the proportion of the population residing in urban areas in relation to the total city population. The Hum is served by the proportion of the overall student population enrolled in universities relative to the total workforce engaged in all the three industries.

Data Sources and Analysis

Except for the Digital Inclusive Finance Index from the Peking University Digital Finance Research Center, most of the data are obtained from "China Urban Statistics Yearbook," various regional statistical yearbooks, and economic and social development

Table 1. Comprehensive index of digital economy and urban green development.

| Primary Index | Dimensions | Secondary Index | Indicator Attribute |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Digital economy (Dige) | Digital infrastructure | Ratio of broadband internet access users among 100 individuals | + |
| | | Ratio of mobile phone users among 100 individuals | + |
| | Data human resources | Proportion of employees in information transmission, computer services and software industry | + |
| | | Proportion of employees in transportation, warehousing, and postal industries | + |
| | Digital transactions | Per capita telecommunications service income | + |
| | | the China Digital Financial Inclusion Index | + |
| Urban green development (Gde) | Economic development | Per capita GDP | + |
| | | GDP growth rate | + |
| | | Labor productivity | + |
| | | Proportion of tertiary industry | + |
| | | Government technology expenditure | + |
| | Environmental protection | Electricity consumption per unit of GDP | - |
| | | Total water supply per unit GDP | - |
| | | Construction land per unit GDP | - |
| | | Utilization rate of general industrial solid waste | + |
| | | Centralized processing rate of sewage | + |
| | | Harmless treatment rate of domestic garbage | + |
| | | Removal rate of SO ₂ | + |
| | Social progress | Green coverage rate in built-up areas | + |
| | | Bus ownership per 10000 people | + |
| | | Public Library Collection per 100 People | + |
| | | Per capita urban road area | + |
| | | Per capita number of beds in medical and health institutions | + |
| | | Internet penetration rate | + |

Table 6. Regression results of panel threshold model.

| Variables | Coefficient | T value |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Fdi | 0.2087** | 2.01 |
| Ind | 0.0264*** | 6.23 |
| IFin | 0.459*** | 5.98 |
| Env | 0.006*** | 7.23 |
| Urb | -0.008 | -0.18 |
| Hum | -0.0089** | -2.26 |
| Dige.I (Dige≤0.1406) | 0.0985 | 1.19 |
| Dige.I (0.1406<Dige≤0.1430) | -0.1589 | -1.09 |
| Dige.I (Dige>0.1430) | 0.1553** | 1.99 |
| Constant | -9.3335*** | -5.93 |
| Observations | 1,988 | 1,988 |
| R ² | 0.03242 | 0.03242 |

advancement of an eco-friendly economy [51]. Thus, Hypothesis 2 is verified.

Endogenous Processing

Firstly, using the systematic GMM estimation, we can alleviate endogeneity problems caused by the

dependent variable and its lagged term. Secondly, instrumental variables are employed to alleviate endogeneity issues caused by the causal relationship between the dependent variable and the explanatory variable. This paper chose the historical instrumental variable, which is expressed by the interaction term of the quantity of post offices per million individuals in each city in 1984, and the preceding year's urban Internet penetration rate (Iv1), for analyzing the evolution of the Dige. In addition, the core explanatory variable Dige lagged one period is the second instrumental variable (Iv2). On the one hand, the historical level of postal and telecommunications development and the lagging level of the digital economy are both related to the current development of the digital economy. On the other hand, the level of green development in each region will not affect the previous year's digital economy and internet penetration rate. Table 7 displays the results of endogenous processing. Column (1) and column (3) represent the regression results of the instrumental variables in the first stage. The F-values of the two instrumental variables in the first stage are both greater than 10 and the Kleibergen-Paap rk Wald F statistics are greater than the critical value of 16.38 under a 10% bias, rejecting the weak instrumental variable hypothesis, and indicating that the selection of the instrumental variables is reasonable. The results of the second stage of instrumental variables in column (2) and column (4) indicate that, after identifying endogeneity issues,

Table 7. Endogenous processing results.

| VARIABLES | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Dige | Gde | Dige | Gde | Gde |
| L.Gde | | | | | 0.4846*** |
| | | | | | (0.0614) |
| Dige | | 0.3164*** | | 0.1978*** | 0.3379* |
| | | (0.1059) | | (0.0292) | (0.1907) |
| Iv1 | 0.0016*** | | | | |
| | (0.0001) | | | | |
| Iv2 | | | 0.9268*** | | |
| | | | (0.0103) | | |
| Controls | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| City fixed effects | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Year fixed effects | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Wald | | 53.82 | | 123.99 | |
| AR(2) | | | | | 0.440 |
| Hansen | | | | | 0.217 |
| Constant | -0.189*** | 0.1119 | 0.0439*** | 0.0937*** | 0.1410* |
| | (0.0722) | (0.1591) | (0.0092) | (0.0249) | (0.0778) |
| Observations | 1,522 | 1,522 | 1,660 | 1,660 | 1,660 |

Table 9. Heterogeneity test regression results.

| VARIABLES | Gde | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| | (1) Resource-based city | (2) Non-resource based city | (3) East | (4) Central | (5) West |
| Dige | 0.0164 | 0.0830*** | 0.127*** | -0.0380 | 0.0840* |
| | (0.0415) | (0.0302) | (0.0410) | (0.0363) | (0.0501) |
| Controls | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| City fixed effects | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Year fixed effects | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Constant | 0.392*** | 0.404*** | 0.445*** | 0.392*** | 0.378*** |
| | (0.00757) | (0.0103) | (0.0149) | (0.0114) | (0.00937) |
| Observations | 704 | 1,107 | 667 | 643 | 501 |
| R ² | 0.011 | 0.056 | 0.030 | 0.063 | 0.112 |
| N | 111 | 168 | 99 | 98 | 82 |

with digital technologies, unable to fully unleash the digital effects, thereby weakening the driving role of digitization. Conversely, non-resource-based cities have less reliance on resource-based industries, with more diverse industrial types. The rapid advancement of digitization can facilitate the transfer of production factors between different industries, beneficial for industrial structural adjustment and upgrading, thereby promoting the green transformation of these cities.

Analysis of Urban Location Heterogeneity

Considering China's extensive landmass and the substantial variations in developmental circumstances among different regions, a "digital divide" effect might be demonstrated by the influence of the Dige on urban green development [53]. Therefore, this study classifies the cities into three areas: the east, the central, and the west, to examine whether there is some difference about the impact in different geographical locations. The results of the grouped regression in the last three columns of Table 9 show the coefficient of Dige in the eastern region is 0.127, and is significant at the 1% level. In the western region, the coefficient turns to 0.084, and is significant at 10% level. This indicates that Dige plays an important and positive role in promoting the greenization of cities in both eastern and western areas, and, moreover, the positive effect in the eastern area is far more pronounced than in the western area. This might be because eastern cities have both policy and geographical advantages, which help import advanced elements including talents, technology, and information. The coefficient of Dige in the central area is negative and not significant.

Conclusions and Policy Implications

Conclusions

According to the above analysis, three conclusions can be drawn in this article: (1) Dige contributes to the promotion of urban green development, and the result remains valid after endogeneity processing and robustness tests. (2) Threshold regression reveals that, with the development of Dige, its promotion role toward urban Gde exhibits a nonlinear characteristic of increasing marginal effects overall. (3) Heterogeneity analysis indicates that green development is more prominently propelled by the digital economy in cities situated in the eastern regions and those not reliant on resource-based industries.

Policy Implications

First, fully utilize the advantages of digitization to promote the transformation of urban economies toward green mode. For example, there should be efforts to construct digital technology network platforms, establish regional information-sharing and interactive mechanisms, encourage and guide enterprises to fully utilize digital technology platforms for participation in communication and transactions, accelerate the circulation and interaction of innovative resources and data elements among cities, and promote the aggregation of economic entities in cyberspace. Fully leveraging network effects, promoting the technological spillover of advanced enterprises, accelerating the deep use of new technologies in cities, and advancing the collaborative development of enterprises should all be considered.

Second, facilitate the transition of regional economies to green development models. This can be achieved by enhancing the deep integration of digital technologies

24. CHEN F., LI Y.H., YU Y.T., SHEN S.F. The Effects and Mechanism of Resource Consumption on the Level of Urban Green Development: A Case Study of Shanghai. *Soft Science*, **37** (04), 136, **2023**.
25. YUAN H., ZOU L., FENG Y. Does manufacturing agglomeration promote or hinder green development efficiency? Evidence from Yangtze River Economic Belt, China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, **30** (34), 81801, **2023**.
26. WANG M.X., ZHAO H.H., CUI J.X., FAN D., LV B., WANG G., ZHOU G.J. Evaluating green development level of nine cities within the Pearl River Delta, China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **174**, 315, **2018**.
27. ZHANG S., WU Z., WANG Y., HAO Y. Fostering green development with green finance: An empirical study on the environmental effect of green credit policy in China. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **296**, 113159, **2021**.
28. YUAN H., FENG Y., LEE C.C., CEN Y. How does manufacturing agglomeration affect green economic efficiency? *Energy Economic*, **92**, 104944, **2020**.
29. CHEN B., XU H.G., NI C.X. New Infrastructure Development and Green and Low Carbon Development of Cities: Empirical Evidence based on the “Broadband China” Strategy. *Lanzhou Academic Journal*, **04**, 31, **2023**.
30. LI G.H., ZHOU X.L. Can Promoting the Development of Digital Economy Improve China’s Environmental Pollution: A quasi natural experiment based on the “Broadband China” strategy. *Macroeconomics*, **07**, 146, **2021**.
31. WU L., WAN X., JAHANGER A., LI M., MURSHED M. Does the digital economy reduce air pollution in China? A perspective from industrial agglomeration. *Energy Reports*, **9**, 3625, **2023**.
32. LI D., ZHAO Y. How does Environmental Regulation Effect Green Growth? An Empirical Investigation from China. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, **30** (2), **2021**.
33. CHATTI W., MAJEED M.T. Information communication technology (ICT), smart urbanization, and environmental quality: Evidence from a panel of developing and developed economies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **366**, 132925, **2022**.
34. XIAO X., QI Y.D. Value Dimension and Theoretical Logic of Industrial Digital Transformation. *Reform*, **08**, 61, **2019**.
35. FANG M., YANG S.G., ZHOU J.J., LEI Y.L. The Innovative Development Path of Industry Agglomeration in Yangtze River Economic Belt under the Background of High-Quality Development. *China Soft Science*, **05**, 137, **2019**.
36. USMAN A., OZTURK I., HASSAN A., MARIA ZAFAR S., ULLAH S. The effect of ICT on energy consumption and economic growth in South Asian economies: An empirical analysis. *Telematics and Informatics*, 101537, **2020**.
37. WEI L.L., HOU Y.Q. Research on the Effect of Specialized and Diversified Industrial Agglomeration on Regional Green Development. *Management Review*, **33** (10), 22, **2021**.
38. PEI C.H., NI J.F., LI Y. Approach Digital Economy from the Perspective of Political Economics. *Finance & Trade Economics*, **39** (09), 5, **2018**.
39. JIANG Y., DENG F. Multi-Dimensional Threshold Effects of the Digital Economy on Green Economic Growth? – New Evidence from China. *Sustainability*, **14**, 12888, **2022**.
40. LI G.Q., LIAO F.Y. Input digitalization and green total factor productivity under the constraint of carbon emissions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **377**, 134403, **2022**.
41. GU B.M., LIU J.G., JI Q. The effect of social sphere digitalization on green total factor productivity in China: Evidence from a dynamic spatial Durbin model. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **320**, 115946, **2022**.
42. YANG L., WANG S. Do fintech applications promote regional innovation efficiency? Empirical evidence from China. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, **83**, 101258, **2022**.
43. ZHAO T., ZHANG Z., LIANG S.K. Digital Economy, Entrepreneurship, and High-Quality Economic Development: Empirical Evidence from Urban China. *Journal of Management World*, **36** (10), 65, **2020**.
44. GUO F., WANG J.Y., WANG F., KONG T., ZHANG X., CHENG Z.Y. Measuring the development of China’s digital financial inclusion: Index compilation and spatial characteristics. *China Economic Quarterly*, **19**, 1401, **2020**.
45. YANG D., LI L., CAO T. The Mechanism and Empirical Study of Urban Green Development on Common Prosperity. *Inquiry into Economic Issues*, **07**, 63, **2023**.
46. XU Y., OUYANG W.H. Dynamic Measurement of the Urban Green Development Level and Its Influencing Mechanism in Jiangxi Province. *Resources and Environment in the Yangtze Basin*, **31**(05), 1152, **2022**.
47. LIU L., DING T., WANG H. Digital economy, technological innovation and green high-quality development of industry: A study case of China. *Sustainability*, **14** (17), 11078, **2022**.
48. HANSEN B.E. Threshold effects in non-dynamic panels: Estimation, testing, and inference. *Journal of econometrics*, **93** (2), 345, **1999**.
49. CHE C.M. Panel threshold analysis of Taiwan’s outbound visitors. *Economic Modelling*, **33**, 787, **2013**.
50. QIAN L.H., FANG Q., LU Z.W. Research on the Synergy of Green Economy and Digital Economy in Stimulus Policies. *Southwest Finance*, **12**, 3, **2020**.
51. KONG L., LI J. Digital Economy Development and Green Economic Efficiency: Evidence from Province-Level Empirical Data in China. *Sustainability*, **15**, 3, **2023**.
52. XI M.M., LIANG Q., FU Y.A. Study of the Impact of Digital Economy on Urban Economic Growth. *Contemporary Finance & Economics*, **09**, 15, **2022**.
53. REN S.Y., HAO Y., XU L., WU H.T., BA N. Digitalization and energy: How does internet development affect China’s energy consumption? *Energy Economics*, **98**, 105220, **2021**.